IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

**ALLISON MITCHELL,** 

Petitioner,

v. CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:20-CV-141

(GROH)

WARDEN P. ADAMS,

Respondent.

and dismissed without prejudice.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Now before the Court is a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Robert W. Trumble. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Trumble for submission of a proposed R&R. Magistrate Judge Trumble issued his R&R [ECF No. 6] on August 18, 2020. Therein, Magistrate Judge Trumble recommends that the Petitioner's § 2241 petition [ECF No. 1] be denied

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court must conduct a *de novo* review of the magistrate judge's findings where objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge to which no objection is made. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and of a petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28.U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Objections to Magistrate Judge Trumble's R&R were due within fourteen plus three

days of service. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The R&R was mailed to

the Petitioner by certified mail on August 18, 2020. ECF No. 6. The Petitioner accepted

service on August 21, 2020. To date, no objections have been filed. Accordingly, this

Court reviews the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review and thoughtful consideration, it is the opinion of this Court that

Magistrate Judge Trumble's R&R [ECF No. 6] should be, and is hereby, ORDERED

ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated therein. Therefore, the Petitioner's § 2241

Petition [ECF No. 1] is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. Furthermore, the

Petitioner's Motion to proceed without prepayment of fees [ECF No. 2] is **TERMINATED** 

as MOOT.

This matter is **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the Court's active docket. The Clerk

of Court is **DIRECTED** to mail a copy of this Order to the *pro se* Petitioner by certified

mail, return receipt requested, at his last known address as reflected on the docket sheet.

**DATED:** September 25, 2020

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2